

### Industrial Tribunals and their functioning.

Q.—307. **Sri D. VENKATESH** (Gandhinagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of Industrial Tribunals now functioning ;

(b) the number of awards given by each of the Tribunals since their inception, in references made under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act ?

A.—**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO** (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) Three.

(b) 

No. of Awards given.	
-------------------------	--

(1) **Sri A. R. Nageswara Iyer** Tribunal constituted in May 1950 ... .. 4

(2) **Sri A. R. Nageswara Iyer** Tribunal constituted in March 1952 ... .. 2

(3) **Sri B. R. Ramalingaiah** Tribunal constituted in June 1952 ... .. 9

**Sri D. VENKATESH.**—Have the Government got power to effect transfer of any dispute already referred to a tribunal when they feel that such interference is justified ?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—Government was of that opinion and recently when the matter was referred to the Government of India they said that they would issue revised orders in due course.

**Sri D. VENKATESH.**—Will the Government amend the existing Act ?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—If necessary, legislation will be introduced in this House in this session.

**Sri D. VENKATESH.**—Is there any time limit for disposal of the cases ?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—No time limit.

**Sri D. VENKATESH.**—Will the Government take this into consideration ?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—No time limit can be placed, because each case depends upon itself and no time limit can be given.

**Sri D. VENKATESH.**—If such time limit is not fixed, is it not possible for the tribunal to exploit the situation and go on dragging a case ?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—No.

**Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.**—What is the personnel of the body constituted in 51 52 ?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—I will give the information later.

**Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.**—Are they paid any honorarium ?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—Yes, they are paid.

**Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.**—How much ?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—Rs. 50 per day.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಅನಂತರಾಮ್.**—ಈ ಟ್ರಿಬ್ಯೂನಲ್‌ಗೆ refer ಮಾಡಿದ ಕೆಲವು cases ವರ್ಷಗಟ್ಟಲೆ ಆಗಿರು ವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.**—ಕೆಲವು ನಿಧಾನ ವಾಗಿರುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಅನಂತರಾಮ್.**—ಈ ಟ್ರಿಬ್ಯೂನಲ್‌ಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿರುವ 50 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ sitting fees ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ?

**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**—It is a matter of opinion.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Next question No. 327. **Sri Swami Durai** is permitted to put the question as desired by **Sri K. S. Vasam.**

### Termination of workers' services for participation in General strike in K. G. F.

Q.—327. **Sri P. M. SWAMI DURAI.**—[On behalf of **Sri K. S. Vasam** (Kolar Gold Fields)].—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) the number of workers of the Kolar Gold Fields Mining Companies whose services were terminated by the Mining Companies for participation in (1) the two-months old general strike which terminated in April 1949, and (2) the stay-in-strike on Mysore and Champion Reef Mines in November 1949.

(SRI K. S. VASAN)

(b) whether assurances were not given to the workers before the termination of the strikes that the Minister for Labour would see to it that there was no victimisation for participation in the strikes.

(c) what efforts have been made by the Labour Department in pursuance of the assurance by the Labour Minister to get these victimisations removed?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) (i) 46.

(ii) 41.

(b) The Government is not aware of any such assurance.

(c) In the usual course, the notices of change given by the Labour Associations in this behalf were negotiated by the Labour Department, but the result was one of failure as the Management did not agree to take back the workers. The disputes were next referred to the Chief Conciliator, but even these conciliation proceedings resulted in failure. The reports of conciliation have been published in the *Mysore Gazette*, dated the 18th May 1950 and 25th May 1950. In the meanwhile, under the present Constitution, as Mining Labour became a Central subject, no further action could be taken by the State Government in the matter.

Sri P. M. SWAMI DURAI.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that by terminating the services of 87 workers, 87 families have been put into difficulties?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Naturally, the families of those who have been put out of the work will be put to difficulties.

Sri P. M. SWAMI DURAI.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that in the first category a few workers have been reinstated?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Yes.

Sri P. M. SWAMI DURAI.—Will the Government be pleased to state what is the reason for this discrimination?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—

The note clearly says how Government were doing their best to bring about conciliation between the employer and the employees, but when it went out of the jurisdiction of the State, we could not do anything further.

Sri P. M. SWAMI DURAI.—It is true. But I am talking about the discrimination; only a few workers have been reinstated out of 46. What is the reason?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—As I said, it has now gone out of our jurisdiction.

Sri P. M. SWAMI DURAI.—Will the Government be pleased to recommend these cases to the Central Government?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I will examine that question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The next questions, Nos. 457 and 568 can be taken up together.

**Transfer of judicial jurisdiction of Heggaddevankote from Hunsur to Mysore courts.**

Q.—457. Sri H. K. SHIVARUDRAPPA (Gundlupet—Heggaddevankote).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) whether representations have been received by them from the people of Heggaddevankote Taluk stating that inconvenience has been caused to them by the transfer of jurisdiction over Heggaddevankote Taluk from the Courts at Mysore to Hunsur Courts and requesting that it may be re-transferred to Mysore Courts as before;

(b) the relief they have afforded to the people of that taluk in this behalf and their policy in this matter?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Till the question under (a) is decided, the District Magistrate, Mysore District, has been requested to take further action for affording better transport facilities to the litigant public of